

# Concepts and Algorithms of Scientific and Visual Computing

## –Stiff Differential Equations–



CS448J, Autumn 2015, Stanford University

Dominik L. Michels

# Stiff Cauchy Problems

According to the Picard-Lindelöf theorem, the Cauchy problem

$$d_x(y(x)) = f(x, y(x))$$

with initial value  $y_0(x_0) = y_0$  and Lipschitz continuity of  $f$ , i.e.

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq N|y_1 - y_2|$$

for all  $(x, y_1)$  and  $(x, y_2)$  in a neighborhood  $G$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$  has a unique local solution.

If the constant  $N$  takes high values, although the solution  $y$  runs smoothly, explicit integration methods have to take very small step sizes in order to approximate  $y$  appropriately.

Such a scenario is called a stiff Cauchy problem.

# Stiff Cauchy Problems

According to the classical definition of [Curtiss 1952] the term “stiff” means:  
“...where certain implicit methods perform better than explicit ones”.

Stiffness as such is **not a characteristic** of the differential equations nor is it a property of the numerical methods applied in order to solve them. It is just an issue of **efficiency** because we want a numerical integration method to sample the important time scales as quickly as possible.

# Dahlquist Equation

According to [Dahlquist 1963] we consider the differential equation

$$d_t(y(x)) = f(y(x)), \quad y(0) = y_0$$

with  $f(y(x)) = -\lambda y(x)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ , and its analytical solution

$$y(x) = \exp(-x\lambda)y_0.$$

We apply the explicit and the implicit Euler method so that we obtain the scheme

$$y(x + \Delta x) = (1 - \Delta x \lambda)y(x)$$

for the explicit case and

$$y(x + \Delta x) = \frac{1}{1 + \Delta x \lambda}y(t)$$

for the implicit case.

# Dahlquist Equation

The absolute value of the exact solution is **decreasing monotonically**. Hence

$$|1 - \Delta x \lambda| \leq 1$$

is a **necessary criterion** for the **convergence** of the **explicit Euler** method. The analogous criterion for the **implicit** method is given by

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 + \Delta x \lambda} \right| \leq 1.$$

The first condition is only fulfilled for **small step sizes**  $\Delta x$ , whereas the second one is fulfilled for **all**  $\Delta x > 0$ .

# Semi-analytical Exponential Integrator

We consider the symplectic construction of a so-called semi-analytical exponential integrator for second-order differential equations of motion.

$$\ddot{x} + Ax = g(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &\leftarrow 2 \cos(\Delta t \sqrt{A}) x_n - x_{n-1} + \int_{n\Delta t}^{(n+1)\Delta t} g(x(t)) dt^2 \\ &\approx 2 \cos(\Delta t \sqrt{A}) x_n - x_{n-1} + \Delta t^2 \psi(\Delta t \sqrt{A}) g(\phi(\Delta t \sqrt{A}) x_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$(x_{n-1}, x_n) \mapsto (x_n, x_{n+1}) \cong \Phi_{\Delta t} : (x_n, v_n) \mapsto (x_{n+1}, v_{n+1})$$

$\Phi_{\Delta t}$  symplectic, iff  $\psi(\cdot) = \text{sinc}(\cdot)\phi(\cdot)$

$$(\psi, \phi) = (\text{sinc}^2, \text{sinc}) \checkmark$$

# Semi-analytical Exponential Integrator

Finally we obtain the so-called exponential integrator of Gautschi type, see [Gautschi 1961].

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$$



$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = 2\cos(\Delta t\sqrt{\mathbf{A}})\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n-1} + \Delta t^2 \operatorname{sinc}^2(\Delta t\sqrt{\mathbf{A}})\mathbf{g}(\operatorname{sinc}(\Delta t\sqrt{\mathbf{A}})\mathbf{x}_n)$$

This is a composition of a partial analytical solution and a low-pass filtered nonlinearity leading to an explicit, symplectic, and time-reversible scheme of second order.

## Splitting / Variational Implicit-explicit Integrator

Splitting of the potential into a **fast** (i.e. highly oscillatory) and a **slow** component is often possible. Based on this observation the **midpoint quadrature rule** is applied to a **fast quadratic potential** and the trapezoidal quadrature rule to the remaining **slow potential** term resulting in  $\Lambda$ .

The application of the **discrete Euler-Lagrange formalism** leads to the so-called **variational implicit-explicit integrator** which takes the form

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = 2\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n-1} - \Delta t^2 \left( \mathbf{1} + \Delta t^2 / 4 \mathbf{K} \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}_n + \Lambda(\mathbf{x}_n)).$$

Since it is based on a discrete **variational principle**, it is naturally **symplectic**. Moreover, its **symmetry** can be followed easily by calculation.